

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/705,288	SILVER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2625	

**All Participants:**

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(2) RUSS WEINZIMMER.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 September 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*ren.clms. 122-126,134,136-138,146 & 151*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Delp, et al. (5,871,018)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**DANIEL MIRIAM**

**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims. Claims 122-126, 134, 136-138 have been amended to delete the optional/intended use language "adapted to" and to replace it with "configured to". Applicants' attorney, namely Russ Weinzimmer has agreed to amend claim 146 by incorporating the limitation of claim 151 to more narrowly define the claimed invention (See Examiner's Amendment for details).